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#### Abstract

This research was designed to provide an idea for choosing the best two equations that can be used to finish the RSA decryption process. In general, the four strategies suggested to accelerate this procedure are competitors. Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT) is among four rivals. The remains are improved algorithms that have been adjusted from CRT. In truth, the primary building block of these algorithms is CRT, but the sub exponent of CRT is substituted with the new value. Assuming the modulus is obtained by multiplying two prime numbers, two modular exponentiations must be performed prior to combining the results. Three factors are chosen to determine the optimal equation: modular multiplications, modular squares, and modular inverses. In general, the proposed method is always the winner since the optimal equation is selected from among four methods. The testing findings show that the proposed technique is consistently $10-30 \%$ faster than CRT.


Keywords: CRT, RSA, Decryption Process, Exponent.

## 1 Introduction

In the digital world, a great deal of confidential information is transmitted via the Internet because it is a simple and quick method for exchanging the information. However, the Internet is the unsecured medium via which attackers can quickly access information. Numerous approaches have been developed to preserve the information transmitted over the Internet channel. Cryptography (Guang, G., 1999) is

[^0]one of the algorithms used to protect a secret message by encrypting and decrypting data. In truth, there are two distinct cryptographic techniques. The first method is symmetric key cryptography. The secret key is chosen once and used for both encryption and decryption. When symmetric key cryptography is used for implementation, there are two benefits. The first one is regarding process completion time. In general, this strategy requires less time than the alternative method. The difficulty of intruder attempts is the second advantage. Specifically, AES (Christian, E., 2021) (Issam, H., 2010) and one-time pad (Guanglou, Z., 2015) are examples of powerful algorithms in this group. Moreover, both algorithms are still applicable today. The disadvantage is the difficulty in finding the hidden route for the exchange of the public key between senders and recipients. Asymmetric key cryptography (Diffie, W., 1976) or public key cryptography was introduced to address the problem with symmetric key encryption. In fact, two separate keys are necessary. The public key is the first key. In general, it is shared publicly with the entire group. The second key is the private key, which must be kept in strict confidence. In practice, the secret channel is unnecessary for public key cryptography. However, all algorithms in this group have extremely high computation costs. The utilization of public key cryptography for the protection of secret information is inappropriate. On the other hand, the secret key that will be used to protect the secret information is exchanged using public key cryptography.

RSA (Rivest, R.L., 1978) represents one of the algorithms for public key cryptography. It is recognized as one of the most powerful algorithms in the digital age. RSA is based on the integer factorization (Gupta, S.C., 2021) (Nedal, T., 2018) issue. It will be broken upon the disclosure of all prime factors. Although numerous integer factoring techniques, such as (Somsuk, K., 2018) (Somsuk, K., 2021) (Somsuk, K., 2022) (Somsuk, K., 2020) (Pollard, J.M., 1978) (Omar, K., 2008) (Wu, M.E., 2014), have been presented, there is no effective method to break RSA in polynomial time with at least 1024 bits of modulus. In 1994, P. Shor (Shor, P.W., 1994) introduced the factoring method to break RSA, a novel concept. Shor's algorithm is distinct from other algorithms since it is based on the quantum computer. In addition, he demonstrated that this method on a quantum computer will factor the large modulus in polynomial time. However, quantum computer development is still in progress. In fact, nobody can confirm when the fully quantum computer will be created. Therefore, RSA is still a robust technique that is extensively employed today.

Typically, the private key has a high numerical value. Since the private key is the exponent for computing modular exponentiation, it has an effect on how long it takes to decipher a message. Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT) (Sung, M.Y., 2003) (Atsushi, M., 2011) is the primary method for accelerating the RSA decryption procedure by dividing the private key into two sub keys. Before combining the results, two modular exponentiations with the new keys are performed. Moreover, modified methods were developed to accelerate this procedure. However, they are a specific algorithm that is appropriate in some conditions.

In this study, the best equation for computing modular exponential with sub exponent is determined. In this work, the modulus is assumed to be the product of two primes. Therefore, two modular exponentiations with two sub exponents are necessary. For each modular exponentiation, the best equation is determined using one of four algorithms. Consequently, it is feasible that the equation chosen to compute modular exponentiation with the first sub exponent is distinct from the equation with the second sub exponent. Moreover, there are three parameters to consider the best equation, modular multiplications, modular squares and modular inverses. In addition, it means that the proposed method for selecting the two best equations requires the shortest computing time in the decryption process.

## 2 Related Works

This section provides an overview of RSA and discusses strategies for accelerating RSA's decryption process.

## RSA

RSA is one of the most widely known algorithms for public key cryptography. In 1978, three scholars, R. Rivest, A. Shamir, and L. Adleman, created this algorithm. In addition, this method is compatible with both data encryption and digital signature. Although it has already been demonstrated that RSA can be broken rapidly using Shor's algorithm (Shor, P.W., 1994), which was proposed by P. Shor in 1994, Shor's method is based on the under-development quantum circuit. Thus, RSA is still typically utilized today. To implement RSA for data encryption, there are three techniques.

Process 1 (Key Generation Process): The purpose of this procedure is to generate all RSA implementation parameters. The five steps are as follows:

Step 1: Generating two random prime numbers, p and q
Step 2: Computing the modulus, $n$, from $n=p * q$
Step 3: Computing Euler's totient function, $\Phi(\mathrm{n})$, from $\Phi(\mathrm{n})=(\mathrm{p}-1) *(\mathrm{q}-1)$
Step 4: Selecting pubic key, e, from the following conditions, $1<\mathrm{e}<\Phi(\mathrm{n})$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{e}, \Phi(\mathrm{n}))=1$
Step 5: Computing the private key, d , from $\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{e}^{-1} \bmod \Phi(\mathrm{n})$
After finishing the Key Generation Process, all parameters are separated into two distinct groups. The first category consists of published parameters e and n. Both are sent to senders who wish to transmit a secret message to recipients (owners' key). The second group is for the private parameters which are $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{d}$ and $\Phi(\mathrm{n})$.

Process 2 (Encryption Process): It is the method by which senders transmit a secret message to receivers by encrypting the plaintext prior to transmission through an insecure channel. The equation for encryption is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{e}} \bmod \mathrm{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is original plaintext and $c$ is ciphertext
Process 3 (Decryption Process): Using this method, the recipient is able to recover the plaintext. The equation for decryption is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{d}} \bmod \mathrm{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In practice, the disadvantage is the time required to complete the encryption and decryption processes. Because it is the case that all parameters are available on this side, the decryption process can be sped up by employing numerous improved methods. In section 2.3 , these algorithms will be discussed.

## Fast Exponent

In fact, modular exponentiation is required to solve both above equations. However, this procedure has a very high computational cost, especially due to the exponent's high value. Fast exponent (Abdalhossein, R., 2015) (Chia, L.W., 2006) is the method for accelerating modular exponentiation. The exponent is converted to a binary value for modular multiplication computation. In fact, modular multiplication is necessary when a bit equals one. The other essential operation in Fast Exponent is modular square, which is based on the exponent's bit length. Assigning $b=\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{len}-1} b_{i} * 2^{i}$ where len is bits length of b , Algorithm 2.1 is the procedure of Fast Exponent.

```
Algorithm 2.1 Fast Exponent
    INPUT: \(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{b}_{0}, \mathrm{~b}_{1}, \cdots, \mathrm{~b}_{\text {len-1 }}\)
    OUTPUT: \(\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{b}} \bmod \mathrm{c}\)
        \(\mathrm{r} \leftarrow 1, \mathrm{t} \leftarrow \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i} \leftarrow 1\) and \(\mathrm{x} \leftarrow 0\)
        IF \(\mathrm{b}_{0}=1\) Then
            \(r \leftarrow a\)
        EndIF
        While i < len Do
            \(\mathrm{t} \leftarrow \mathrm{t}^{2} \bmod \mathrm{c}\)
            IF \(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}=1\) Then
                \(\mathrm{r} \leftarrow \mathrm{r} * \mathrm{t} \bmod \mathrm{c}\)
            EndIF
            \(\mathrm{i} \leftarrow \mathrm{i}+1\)
        End While
        \(\mathrm{d} \leftarrow \mathrm{r}\)
```


## Algorithms to Speed Up RSA's Decryption Process

Given that all RSA parameters are known, this section discusses the enhanced methods that speed up the decryption process while using RSA.
a) Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT): CRT is a method for accelerating RSA that divides d into two numbers that are both less than d . Although two modular exponentiations are necessary to complete the process, each equation can be solved in less time than it takes to explicitly retrieve $m$ from equation (2). Given that $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{q}}$ are two exponents derived from d , the equations (3) and (4) are chosen to determine $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{q}}$, respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{d} \bmod \mathrm{p}-1  \tag{3}\\
& \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{d} \bmod \mathrm{q}-1 \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

In fact, they are the exponents needed to determine $m_{p}$ and $m_{q}$, given the equations (5) and (6).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}}} \bmod \mathrm{p}  \tag{5}\\
& \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{q}}} \bmod \mathrm{q} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Assigning $y_{p}=p^{-1} \bmod q$ and $y_{q}=q^{-1} \bmod p, m$ can be recovered by using equation (7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\left(m_{p} y_{q} q+m_{q} y_{p} p\right) \bmod n \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $d_{p}$ and $d_{q}$ are very tiny compared to $d$, this indicates that the time required to recover $m$ is minimized.
b) The efficient method for the large private key (Somsuk, K., 2017) (Somsuk, K., 2018): It is a modified approach appropriate for a large private key. However, when dis small, this strategy becomes inefficient. Consequently, it should only be taken for implementation when $d$ is small. Assuming $d_{p}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{q}}$ are large, CRT can be used to minimize calculation time on the decryption side.
Assuming $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{q}}$ are assigned, then $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ may be calculated using equations (8) and (9).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}=\left(\mathrm{c}^{-1}\right)^{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}-1} \bmod \mathrm{p}  \tag{8}\\
& \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}=\left(\mathrm{c}^{-1}\right)^{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{q}}-1} \bmod \mathrm{q} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

In fact, $m_{p}$ may be determined quite rapidly when $x_{p}$ is little. This event will occur when $d_{p}$ has a tremendous value. The same reason why the procedure to find $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ is quick when $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{q}}$ is small.
c) The efficient method when the cluster of all bits which are equal to one is large (Somsuk, K., 2021): Before applying Algorithm 2.2 to get m , d will be converted into the difference of two integers, $d=a-b$, where $a=2^{\text {len }}$ and len is the bit length of d. Assuming $f=f_{\text {len- } 1} f_{\text {len- } 2} \cdots f_{2} f_{1} f_{0}$ is computed from the relation between a and b , see in (Somsuk, K., 2021), the process to recover m is shown in Algorithm 2.2:

```
Algorithm 2.2 The Improved Fast Exponent
    INPUT: \(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{f}_{\text {len- } 1} \mathrm{f}_{\text {len- } 2} \cdots \mathrm{f}_{1} \mathrm{f}_{0}\)
    OUTPUT: \(\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{d}} \bmod \mathrm{n}\)
        \(1 \leftarrow\) Length of \(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{t} \leftarrow \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{a}} \leftarrow 1, \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{b}} \leftarrow \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{i} \leftarrow 1\)
        While i <= len-1 Do
            \(\mathrm{t} \leftarrow \mathrm{t}^{2} \bmod \mathrm{n}\)
            IF \(f_{i}=1\) Then
                \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{a}} \leftarrow \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{a}} * \mathrm{t} \bmod \mathrm{n}\)
            Else IF \(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}=2\) Then
                \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{b}} \leftarrow \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{b}} * \mathrm{t} \bmod \mathrm{n}\)
            EndIF
            \(\mathrm{i} \leftarrow \mathrm{i}+1\)
        End While
        \(\mathrm{r} \leftarrow\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)^{-1} \bmod \mathrm{n}\)
        \(\mathrm{m} \leftarrow \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{a}} * \mathrm{r} \bmod \mathrm{n}\)
```

Moreover, this approach is highly effective when the number of clusters is limited. In fact, each cluster is comprised of neighboring bits 0 that are grouped together. Additionally, this approach may be utilized with CRT to reduce computing time. Assigning len $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}$ is bits length of $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}}$, len $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{q}}$ is bits length of $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{q}}$, $d_{p}=a_{p}-b_{p}$ and $d_{q}=a_{q}-b_{q}$ where $a_{p}=2^{\text {len }}$ and $a_{q}=2^{\text {len }}$, then $m_{p}$ and $m_{q}$ can be computed by using the equation (10) and (11) respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{p}=c^{a_{p}} *\left(c^{b_{p}}\right)^{-1} \bmod p  \tag{10}\\
& m_{q}=c^{a_{q}} *\left(c^{b_{q}}\right)^{-1} \bmod q \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

When the number of clusters is minimal, the equation (10) can compute $m_{p}$ extremely quickly. In addition, $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ is quickly determined using equation (11) when the number of clusters is minimal.
d) The efficient method by using the new private key with low Hamming Weight (Somsuk, K., 2022): The private key is often allocated a big value to prevent quick attacks by attackers. In addition, there is a significant probability that the huge private key will have a High Hamming Weight, which is the amount of bits that equal 1. The number of modular multiplications is determined by Hamming Weight. The purpose of the procedure in (Somsuk, K., 2022) is to offer a new private key that is mathematically distinct from the standard private key, and which may have a lower Hamming Weight. Therefore, the computation time is reduced if a new key with a low Hamming Weight is constructed. In addition, if a new private key with a low Hamming Weight is generated, this technique can be used with CRT to accelerate the RSA decryption procedure (Kim, H., 2013).
Assigning $d_{l p}=d_{p}+a^{*}(p-1)$ and $d_{l q}=d_{q}+b^{*}(q-1)$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, then $m_{p}$ and $m_{q}$ can be computed by using the equation (12) and (13) respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{lp}}} \bmod \mathrm{p}  \tag{12}\\
& \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{lq}}} \bmod \mathrm{q} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Assume that the bit length of $d_{l p}$ is extremely near to $d_{p}$ and $d_{l p}$ 's Hamming Weight is less than $d_{p}$, the cost of computing modular multiplication using equation (12) is reduced. Moreover, analyzing the cost with the equation (13) is equivalent to considering the equation (12).

## 3 The Proposed Method

The purpose of this research is to offer a method that considers the best equations for computing both $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ to speed up the decryption procedure. The algorithm is separated into two sections. The first step is to select the best equation to compute $m_{p}$; the competitors are Equation (5), Equation (8), Equation (10) and Equation (12). In addition, the competitors for the second part to find the winner to compute $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ are the equation (6), the equation (9), the equation (11) and the equation (13). In fact, after the winners for the first and second parts have been determined, both of them are selected to complete RSA's decryption procedure, which indicates that these equations save the most on computation costs.

Given that the costs to complete modular exponentiation are modular squares, modular multiplications, and modular inverses, Algorithm 3.1 and Algorithm 3.2 demonstrate how to choose the best equations to compute $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$, respectively.

```
Algorithm 3.1 The best equation to find \(m_{p}\)
    INPUT: \(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{p}}\) and \(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{l}}\)
    OUTPUT: the equation to compute \(m_{p}\)
    1. \(\quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{-} 1} \leftarrow\) Costs to compute \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}\) by using the equation (5)
    2. \(\quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{-} 2} \leftarrow\) Costs to compute \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}\) by using the equation (8)
    3. \(\quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{-} 3} \leftarrow\) Costs to compute \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}\) by using the equation (10)
    4. \(\quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{-} 4} \leftarrow\) Costs to compute \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}\) by using the equation (12)
    5. \(\mathrm{C} \leftarrow 1\)
    6. \(\quad\) IF C \(<\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{-} 1}\)
                \(\mathrm{C} \leftarrow \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-1}\)
        IF \(\mathrm{C}<\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p} \_2}\)
        \(\mathrm{C} \leftarrow \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{-} 2}\)
10. IF C \(<\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p} \_3}\)
        \(\mathrm{C} \leftarrow \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{-} 3}\)
        IF C \(<\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-4}\)
        \(\mathrm{C} \leftarrow \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-4}\)
    14. The Equation to Find \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}\) is based on C
```

After completing Algorithm 3.1, the selected equation to compute $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}$ is the optimal approach.
In addition, Algorithm 3.2 is selected for the computation of $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$.

```
Algorithm 3.2 The best equation to find \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}\)
    INPUT: \(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{q}}\) and \(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{q}}}\)
    OUTPUT: the equation to compute \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}\)
        \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}_{-1} 1} \leftarrow\) Costs to compute \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}\) by using the equation (6)
        \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}_{-} 2} \leftarrow\) Costs to compute \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}\) by using the equation (9)
        \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}_{-} 3} \leftarrow\) Costs to compute \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}\) by using the equation (11)
        \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q} \_4} \leftarrow\) Costs to compute \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}\) by using the equation (13)
        \(\mathrm{D} \leftarrow 1\)
        IF \(\mathrm{D}<\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}_{-} 1}\)
            \(\mathrm{D} \leftarrow \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}_{-} 1}\)
        IF \(\mathrm{D}<\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q} \_2}\)
            \(\mathrm{D} \leftarrow \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}_{-} 2}\)
    10. IF \(\mathrm{D}<\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}_{-} 3}\)
    11. \(\mathrm{D} \leftarrow \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}_{-} 3}\)
    12. IF \(\mathrm{D}<\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}_{-} 4}\)
            \(\mathrm{D} \leftarrow \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}-4}\)
    14. The Equation to Find \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}\) is based on D
```

After completing the procedure, the most efficient equation to compute $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ is chosen. Therefore, it suggests that the time required to complete the work on the decryption side has decreased.

Assigning M is number of modular multiplications
$S$ is number of modular squares
I is number of modular inverses
Example 1 and Example 2 demonstrate that when the best equations for computing $m_{p}$ and $m_{q}$ are revealed, the cost of calculation is lowered.

Example 1 Assigning p =215893, q $=191027, \mathrm{n}=41241392111, \Phi(n)=41240985192, \mathrm{e}=$ 31287190865 and $\mathrm{d}=18643694825$, find the best equations to compute $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$

Sol: First, the equations to compute $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}$ are consider
1.1 Choosing the equation $(5), \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}}=125273=11110100101011001_{2}$, then all costs to compute $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}$ are 9 M and 16 S
1.2 Choosing the equation (8), $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}-1=90619=10110000111111011_{2}$, then all costs to compute $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}$ are 10M 16S and 1I
1.3 Choosing the equation (10), $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}=100021201212102012$, then all costs to compute $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}$ are 11 M 17 S and 1I, using Algorithm 2.2
1.4 Choosing the equation (12), the new private key which is the best exponent is, $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{lp}}=557057=$ $10001000000000000001_{2}$ with $\mathrm{a}=2$, then all costs to compute $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}$ are 2 M and 19 S

Table 1: Computation Costs to Compute mp for Example 1

| Equation to find $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{p}}$ | Computation Costs |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{I}$ |  |
| Equation (5) | 9 | 16 | - | 25 |
| Equation (8) | 10 | 16 | 1 | 27 |
| Equation (10) | 11 | 17 | 1 | 29 |
| Equation (12) | 2 | 19 | - | $\mathbf{2 1}$ |

Table 1 displays the costs for each equation used in Example 1 to calculate $m_{p}$. It suggests that the equation (12) with $d_{l p}$ as the exponent is the best equation for calculating $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}$.

The following step is to consider the best equation to compute $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$.
2.1 Choosing the equation $(6), \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{q}}=130303=111110011111111_{2}$, then all costs to compute $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ are 14 M and 16 S
2.2 Choosing the equation (9), $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{q}}-1=60723=10000110000001101_{2}$, then all costs to compute $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ are 9 M 15 S and 1 I
2.3 Choosing the equation (11), $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{q}}=100000020100000002$, then all costs to compute $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ are 3 M 17 S and 1I, using Algorithm 2.2
2.4 Choosing the equation (13), the new private key which is the best exponent is, $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{lq}}=321329=$ $1001110011100110001_{2}$ with $\mathrm{b}=1$, then all costs to compute $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ are 9 M and 18 S

Table 2: Computation Costs to Compute mq for Example 1

| Equation to find $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{q}}$ | Computation Costs |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{I}$ |  |
| Equation (6) | 14 | 16 | - | 30 |
| Equation (9) | 9 | 15 | 1 | 25 |
| Equation (11) | 3 | 17 | 1 | $\mathbf{2 1}$ |
| Equation (13) | 9 | 18 | - | 27 |

Table 2 displays the costs for each equation used to determine $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ in Example 1. It indicates that equation (11) with $f_{q}$ as the exponent is the best equation for computing $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$.

Consequently, the decryption procedure takes just $5 \mathrm{M}, 36 \mathrm{~S}$, and 1 I if the proposed method is used to select the best equations for $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$. However, 23 M and 32 S are required when CRT is used.

In addition, the following example illustrates the calculation time required by each strategy to complete the RSA decryption process with a modulus length of 1024 bits.

Example 2 Assigning

```
p
\(=\)
```

6835952817751931056288719508748250083888114156174801897722150005506243706772022163 365243232703720260935001921945296648121874531453669789752082619669530561 ,
q $=$ 8282951339977371559557116862936904963731156092285127429793792505171552713870940944 769284423758721851632533334123609971098438483697490486995960015535271683 ,

```
    n =
``` 5662186455182044617906740594633781463793059283654028550826283333830870632788608396 1808895635420415159791204480512204616368922595132360925475875917037696182748869934 5854084178933948099880131041351193344938955604891413475324742713753346690309076671 03717989042746914486625711825006310437901015162076942206404163 ,


Sol: First, the equations to compute \(m_{p}\) are consider
1.1 Choosing the equation (5), \(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}}\) is the exponent, then all costs to compute \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}\) are 255 M and 510 S
1.2 Choosing the equation (8), \(x_{p}-1\) is the exponent, then all costs to compute \(m_{p}\) are 260 M 506 S and 1I
1.3 Choosing the equation (10), \(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}\) is the parameter for Algorithm 2.2, then all costs to compute \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}\) are 255M 511S and 1I, using Algorithm 2.2
1.4 Choosing the equation (12), \(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{lp}}\) with \(\mathrm{a}=1\) is t the best exponent, then all costs to compute \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}\) are 6 M and 512 S

Table 3: Computation Costs to Compute mp for Example 2
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{ Equation to find \(\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{p}}\)} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{ Computation Costs } & \multirow{2}{*}{ Total } \\
\cline { 2 - 4 } & \(\mathbf{M}\) & \(\mathbf{S}\) & \(\mathbf{I}\) & \\
\hline Equation (5) & 255 & 510 & - & 765 \\
\hline Equation (8) & 260 & 506 & 1 & 767 \\
\hline Equation (10) & 255 & 511 & 1 & 767 \\
\hline Equation (12) & 6 & 512 & - & \(\mathbf{5 1 8}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 3 displays the costs associated with each equation used in Example 2 to determine \(m_{p}\). It suggests that the equation (12) with \(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{lp}}\) as the exponent is the best equation for calculating \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}\) and that just 518 expenses are necessary.

The next process is to consider the best equation to compute \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}\)
2.1 Choosing the equation (6), \(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{q}}\) is the exponent, then all costs to compute \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}\) are 278 M and 509 S
2.2 Choosing the equation (9), \(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{q}}-1\) is the exponent, then all costs to compute \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}\) are 255 M 510 S and 1I
2.3 Choosing the equation (11), \(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{q}}\) is the parameter for Algorithm 2.2, then all costs to compute \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}\) are 15M 510S and 1I, using Algorithm 2.2
2.4 Choosing the equation (13), \(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{lq}}\) with \(\mathrm{b}=2\) is the best exponent, then all costs to compute \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}\) are 251M and 512S

Table 4: Computation Costs to Compute mq for Example 2
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{ Equation to find \(\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{q}}\)} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{ Computation Costs } & \multirow{2}{*}{ Total } \\
\cline { 2 - 4 } & \(\mathbf{M}\) & \(\mathbf{S}\) & \(\mathbf{I}\) & \\
\hline Equation (6) & 248 & 509 & - & 757 \\
\hline Equation (9) & 255 & 510 & 1 & 766 \\
\hline Equation (11) & 15 & 510 & 1 & \(\mathbf{5 2 5}\) \\
\hline Equation (13) & 251 & 512 & - & 763 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 4 contains the costs for each equation used to determine \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}\) in Example 2. It indicates that equation (11) with \(f_{q}\) as the exponent is the best equation for computing \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}\).

Hence, the decryption procedure takes just \(21 \mathrm{M}, 1022 \mathrm{~S}\), and 1 I if the proposed method is used to select the best equations for \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}\) and \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}\). However, 503 M and 1019 S are required when CRT is used.

\section*{4 Experimental Results}

Table 5: Time to Finish Decryption Process in Example 2
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Algorithm & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Time \\
\((\mathbf{m S e c})\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline CRT & 38.47 \\
\hline Applying CRT with the Method in (Somsuk, K., 2017) & 39.15 \\
\hline Applying CRT with the Method in (Somsuk, K., 2018) & 29.87 \\
\hline Applying CRT with the Method in (Somsuk, K., 2021) & 30.15 \\
\hline The Proposed Method & \(\mathbf{2 1 . 2 1}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In this section, the computation time required by each technique to complete RSA decryption process is shown. CRT is performed to all comparison techniques to determine the best time. Fast Exponent is also provided for computing modular exponentiation. In fact, the Improved Fast Exponent, Algorithm 2.2, is the only way in (Somsuk, K., 2018) that Fast Exponent cannot include to complete modular exponentiation. Furthermore, the BigInteger class in Java, which can represent an unbounded size variable, is selected for the implementation. All experiments were conducted on a 2.53 GHz Intel® Core i5 with 8 GB of RAM so that the same parameters could be controlled.

The experiment consists of two distinct parts. Consider the computation time required to compute the RSA decryption process in Example 2. The second experiment involves randomly generating all RSA parameters with bit lengths between 1024 and 4098 and evaluating the time required to do the same task.

Table 5 compares the time to complete modular exponentiation based on the parameters in Example 2. The experimental findings demonstrate that the proposed method imposes the least amount of computation costs. Because the most effective equations for computing \(m_{p}\) and \(m_{q}\) are chosen, the proposed method becomes the most effective algorithm. In practice, the equation used to calculate \(m_{p}\) may differ from the equation used to determine \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}\).


Figure 1: Time to finish Decryption Process with The Private Key
In figure 1, a comparison of the time required to complete the decryption process is presented. In fact, the computation time for each bit length is determined by the mean of 20 modulus values. These experimental findings demonstrate that the proposed method is the most efficient. In general, CRT, Applying CRT with the Method in (Somsuk, K., 2017), Applying CRT with the Method in (Somsuk, K., 2018), and Applying CRT with the Method in (Somsuk, K., 2021) are utilized to get the best equation. Therefore, it implies that the proposed method is always the winner. In addition, the proposed method completes the procedure 10 to 30 percent faster than CRT on average.

\section*{5 Conclusion}

The private key is analyzed to select the best equations to find \(m_{p}\) and \(m_{q}\) before using Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT) to recover m. In fact, three parameters are chosen to consider the best equations, modular multiplications, modular squares, and modular inverses. In addition, it implies that computation time to decrypt the ciphertext is certainly the lowest in comparison to the other techniques. The experimental result shows that the time is reduced about 10 to 30 percent when proposed method is compared with CRT.
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